

HOW MY FATHER TAUGHT ME TO GO ON A NOWHERE

The short story of an untouchable state of mind that finally had to be told

By Pierre Beaudry, 6/19/2025

I never fully realized, until much later in life, the importance of what my father taught me when he showed me how to drive a car at the age of fifteen. It was many years later that I recognized the significance of what he meant by what he called *GOING ON A NOWHERE*. What my father was actually teaching me was how to behave in life in a way which benefits and improves other people's lives. He was telling me: "Don't make the mistake that others make; don't be like everybody else." My question was: how do I avoid doing what everybody else does when I don't know how everybody else behaves?

At a younger age, I thought I understood what my father meant, but I did not fully register, back then, that what he was telling me was how to never get lost, no matter what I did and where I went, and no matter how long it took me to get back from where I had to go. I had to learn how to get back safe and sound no matter what. But, there was something else that I had to figure out.

What he was actually showing me was that by *GOING ON A NOWHERE* I was going to be able to come back to where I started from, but as a different person and, therefore, I would no longer be confused by the traffic mess that others were making around me. He was teaching me that the way to always get back home, safely, no matter where I went and how far I had to go, was to change. "The only way to drive a car," he kept repeating to me, "is by paying attention to the way other people drive and avoid making their mistakes. Always pay attention to what is coming ahead of you and be aware of what other people are doing when they are coming at you from all directions." That was his way of telling me to always focus on the future, not on the past.

The secret of his method was simply the following: my brother, who was a year older than I, was told to drive a few miles into a region we had never been to before. While my mother was knitting in the back seat with my father watching, I was sitting in the front seat with my brother and I had to pay attention to everything because I was the one who had to drive back home without getting lost. Everybody

in the car was told not to say anything about where to go next. My brother and I had to figure this out by ourselves where to go and how to come back, without any help from our parents. This meant that I had to pay attention to every turn my brother made and I had to follow the same pathway, but in reverse, in order to get us back home safely. That is how I learned to travel by TIME-REVERSAL and get back safely from the future. Now, apply the same method to the following example.

HOW CAN YOU NOT BE EXCLUDED FROM INSIDE OF YOUR NEIGHBOUR'S COUNTRY?

The benefit of the other of the Peace of Westphalia is the answer to that question, but there is a very difficult pathway to be taken in order to solve the Russian-Ukrainian conflict that this question addresses. The present Russian-Ukrainian conflict is not a state of war between two countries, but rather, an actual act of international terrorism dictated and run by the British and aimed at keeping alive forever the dying British Empire. The truth of the matter is that this Russian-Ukrainian terrorist conflict is presently destroying both Russians and Ukrainians for the benefit of keeping alive an exclusive club of international bankers who have no other intention but to use military means in order to keep humanity dependent on their abusive colonial financial system.

The question this poses is the following: Why is the recognition of the British Empire's historical role of setting up regional conflicts around the world necessary to be made public and be gotten rid of in order to create a new worldwide security and development architecture? The answer to that question is to be found in the manner of telling the truth in such a way that it will make you vulnerable, but untouchable. This is an amazing sort of paradox and its resolution has to do with the way in which you can succeed in *COMING BACK FROM A NOWHERE*. The answer to that world-historical question was given by Putin's advisor and negotiator, Vladimir Medinsky, on June 9, 2025, in the following video. The question was asked by reporter Rick Sanchez:

“Rick Sanchez: Is Russia committed to a good faith negotiation to find a peace deal with Ukraine, and there are no barriers on you neither from Mr. Putin nor from the Russian government to achieve that aim?

“Medinsky: I will say one thing, which I never said before, publically. If Ukraine had wanted it, if Ukraine were ready, if it had made its own decisions, we could have been able to sign on February 28, 2022 a peace treaty during the first meeting. And the terms would have been much softer

than what we're offering today. By and large, all we wanted was to have Ukraine not enter NATO, not to have any military base on its territory.

“I told them, you can be like Austria, a beautiful prospering country. You can have an army like Austria. And second, bring back the Russian language and the Orthodox Church. Make them equal, give them equal rights. Because, that's amazing, you can speak Arabic in Israel, you can speak Hebrew in Palestine, but you cannot use Russian in Ukraine. There, actually, three-fourths of the population is speaking Russian, and more than half of them deem Russian to be their native tongue. They don't really speak Ukrainian that well. And the third point was for them to recognize Crimea, because Crimea, you have to admit, had the most democratic referendum you can imagine. [...]

“And the last item in our proposal was that there are two territories in the Donbass, two regions that did not want to be part of Ukraine. Earlier we proposed that they become part of Ukraine as autonomous regions so that they can choose their own governors. People in Russia choose their own governors. I go and I vote for the mayor of Moscow. People in the US vote for governors and for mayors. But, in Ukraine, the Presidential office appoints the governors. There are no gubernatorial elections. [I told them] Just let the people choose their governors and they will come back, but Ukraine refused. Kiev said, we will take them back by force. But, they failed to do that. So, on February 28, 2022, we said OK. You failed to conquer those territories, and you will not be able to live with them any longer. Just leave them alone.

“So, we reached an agreement during our first round in Istanbul. We drafted a Treaty. I remember, it was 19 pages long. It was very detailed; it went as far as having wording that was interpreted in the same way in Russian, Ukrainian, and English. So, on the 15th of April, President Putin reviewed the Treaty and made an amendment, as a lawyer, that his first education. I transmitted it to Zelensky and then Zelensky was silent for weeks. They said he was very busy. (Rick Sanchez: Really!) It's a text that is too big and too complex. It turned out that during those two weeks, he had hosted Boris Johnson, the head of Biden's State Department, and the head of Biden's Pentagon.

“They all came and they had meetings, and after that they told me that the foreign partners were against signing a Treaty that has already been coordinated with them. [Rick: They said that?] They said that to my face. [Rick: They are turning down the deal because they were told to turn down the deal, essentially.] Exactly. [Rick: Wow!] They said their foreign partners do not support the signing of that Treaty, and they will not guarantee aid or

security if we signed that Treaty. We will go to war with you [Russians]. But, we will engage in war until you win or we win.”” [Russia’s Top Negotiator Reveals SHOCKING Details of Russia-Ukraine Peace Talks – Untold Truth!](#)

What is wrong with this Ukrainian reasoning? Why can’t both Russia and Ukraine come to an understanding? Why not a win-win solution for both of them? That is the *NOWHERE* that the failing British Empire needs to go to in order to solve this conflict. Here, Vladimir Medinsky is perfectly reasonable and untouchable in what he is saying. The problem is that the British do not want peace; they want total dismemberment of Russia, including by nuclear war. According to News Agency Tass, also on June 9th, 2025, Medinsky made this point exceedingly clear:

“If we stop the conflict along the front line and do not agree on real peace, just conclude some kind of a truce, then it will be—you know, there was a disputed region between Armenia and Azerbaijan, Karabakh—then this region will turn into a huge Karabakh. After some time, Ukraine, together with NATO, with its allies, will join NATO, try to win it back, and that will be the end of the planet, that will be a nuclear war.”¹

This, for me, is the proof that the United Kingdom needs to learn how to *GO ON A NOWHERE*. This is what the British and their Ukrainian friends should consider doing sometime in the near future and for the benefit of all of mankind, instead of for their own personal interests. Is that so difficult to understand and to do? Find out how to solve this crisis by watching [Trump, Netanyahu : la guerre contre l'Iran c'est la guerre de la finance contre les BRICS.](#)

Maybe both British and Ukrainians can figure out their way back from this *NOWHERE* they have never been to before and come back from that future domain of transformation as true human beings, just like everyone else will have to do in this wonderful unexplored universe of ours. Colonization has to stop. Who knows if by prospering your neighbor you might not also be able to become untouchable? Will the British people ever dare to become explorers instead of terrorist colonizers?

ENFIN

¹ [Kiev, NATO’s attempt to retake Russian regions to trigger nuclear war — delegation head - Russian Politics & Diplomacy - TASS](#)