

**From:** "████████") <████████>  
**To:** "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>, "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>  
**Cc:** "████████") <████████>, "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>, "████████") <████████>  
**Subject:** RE: ██████████

**Date:** Thu, 29 Apr 2021 15:00:34 +0000

**Attachments:** 2021-04-30\_Gov't\_Letter\_to\_Manley.v2.docx

---

In case it's useful while we're troubleshooting this issue today, I'm attaching the working draft. We'll let you know if we find additional authorities on this or obtain different guidance

**From:** ██████████  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2021 10:32 AM  
**To:** ██████████ (USANYS) <████████>; ██████████ (USANYS) <████████>; ██████████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Cc:** ██████████) <████████>; ██████████ (USANYS) <████████>; ██████████) <████████>  
**Subject:** ██████████  
  
████████.

We're working on drafting a letter in response to the attached, and wanted to give you a heads up. We hope to have a draft for you this afternoon, in the hopes of sending the draft to defense counsel tonight as part of the meet-and-confer directed by Judge Nathan. I think that leaves sufficient time for filing tomorrow.

Although we're mindful of third party privacy interests, for non-parties who are neither witnesses nor victims in the case, I do have concerns about entertaining redaction/intervention requests, given the high volume of people who may ask to be heard or assert a right to review and redact documents if this were entertained.

Thanks,

████████  
████████  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Southern District of New York  
One Saint Andrew's Plaza  
New York, NY 10007  
████████

EFTA00022503